

**Kobre & Kim's International  
Private Client Contacts**

MARCH 16, 2023



**Jian Wu**  
Shanghai  
[jian.wu@kobrekim.com](mailto:jian.wu@kobrekim.com)



**Kiran Unni**  
London  
[kiran.unni@kobrekim.co.uk](mailto:kiran.unni@kobrekim.co.uk)



**Mark Rowlands**  
BVI  
[mark.rowlands@kobrekim.com](mailto:mark.rowlands@kobrekim.com)



**Miranda Ching**  
London  
[miranda.ching@kobrekim.co.uk](mailto:miranda.ching@kobrekim.co.uk)



**Peter Tyers-Smith**  
Cayman Islands  
[peter.tyers-smith@kobrekim.ky](mailto:peter.tyers-smith@kobrekim.ky)



**Polly Wilkins**  
London  
[polly.wilkins@kobrekim.co.uk](mailto:polly.wilkins@kobrekim.co.uk)

## How Offshore Trustees and Beneficiaries Can Mitigate Serious Risks of a Sanctions Violation

**Fresh developments have sparked renewed scrutiny on offshore trustees and trust service providers as governments look to sharpen enforcement of their sanctions regimes. As we explain below, a proactive, globally comprehensive strategy is crucial for trustees and beneficiaries to reduce risk and avoid a spiraling cross-border crisis.**

The impact of sanctions on offshore asset structures – particularly trusts – raises complex questions, most obviously for a trust's beneficiaries. But trustees, including professionals based in offshore jurisdictions such as the Cayman Islands and the British Virgin Islands, could also face risks, especially as scrutiny on them increases. A proactive, globally comprehensive strategy is, therefore, necessary to mitigate the serious risks of a sanctions violation.

There are already signs of increased scrutiny on trustees, from a [December 2022 UK law](#) extending the country's sanctions regime to the provision of trust services (and implemented in offshore territories) to [recent revelations](#) of extensive UK property owned by anonymous offshore entities and trusts following new disclosure requirements legislated in the wake of the Ukraine conflict.

Trusts face three main risks here: (1) a beneficiary or beneficial owner of the trust is directly sanctioned or otherwise a "prohibited" beneficiary due to their "*connection*" with Russia; (2) the settlor and/or trustees of a trust are sanctioned and/or accused of creating a sham trust; and (3) a reputational problem by association, even if trust assets are not directly affected by a sanctions regime. Additional complications arise based on factors that include the extent of the sanctioned person's interest, the terms of the trust, the involvement of multiple unsanctioned beneficiaries and the [relevant jurisdiction and sanctions regime](#).

Given these complicating factors, trustees may wish to consider:

- Taking preventive measures by documenting thoroughly all management and decision-making structures, transactions and other decisions;
- Bringing proceedings to confirm the independence of the trustee and discounting any claims of *de facto* asset ownership on the part of the settlor;

This content provides information on legal issues and developments of interest to our clients and friends and should not be construed as legal advice on any matter, specific facts or circumstances. The distribution of our content is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship.

© 2025 Kobre & Kim LLP. All Rights Reserved. Prior Results DO NOT Guarantee A Similar Outcome.

- Obtaining a license – i.e., a written authorization from a sanctioning government that permits actions that are otherwise restricted by financial sanctions, such as covering basic living expenses or paying legal fees;
- In appropriate circumstances, retiring as trustee and transferring the role to a replacement more appropriately placed to navigate complex regulatory concerns, engage in litigation or otherwise challenge allegations of improper dealings with beneficiaries or involvement in a sham trust.

Offshore trustees and beneficiaries that do not consider these risks could find themselves at a significant disadvantage if accused of a sanctions violation. Finding on-the-ground counsel with a global network in the key jurisdictions that establish sanctions regimes becomes increasingly crucial as the use of sanctions grows. Coupling this with an understanding of how sanctions apply to different situations can mean the difference between well-protected assets and a rapidly spiraling cross-border crisis.

---

#### About Kobre & Kim

Kobre & Kim is a global law firm that focuses on cross-border disputes and investigations, often involving fraud and misconduct.

To preserve the assets, liberty and reputation of ultra-high-net-worth individuals with global business interests, our firm:

- Provides offensive and defensive cross-border litigation and crisis management strategies in court and out-of-court;
- Brings together roughly two dozen former U.S. and UK government lawyers across Asia, EMEA, Latin America and the U.S., including former prosecutors from the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and UK Serious Fraud Office (SFO);
- Has deep experience with global foreign policy, national security and economic controls and regularly interfaces with regulatory agencies that impose these controls.